PERIOD END DATE

30 June 2022

| | Variance Analysis | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|------|------------|--|
| Budget Details | Total Budget | Forecast Spend | Variand | e | RAG Status | |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | % | | |
| Corporate Asset Maintenance | (266) | (206) | 60 | -23% | + | |
| Service Description | This service manages and undertakes repairs and maintenance to public buildings | | | | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Lower than budgeted income due to a reduced programme of CAMS work delviered by subcontractor partners | | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | None - although service will endeavour to reduce the adverse variance where possible | | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | Surplus to be les | ss than target | | | | |

| Transport, Fleet & Maintenance Services | (592) | (493) | 99 | -17% | + | |
|--|--|-------|----|------|---|--|
| Service Description | Transport services across WDC | | | | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | There is a favourable variance (£39k) against employee costs due to a number of vacancies. However this is more than offset by higher fuel costs (£150k), which will follow through to higher recharges to services. | | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | The level of internal recharges is under review | | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | Surplus slightly less than target | | | | | |

PERIOD END DATE

30 June 2022

| | Variance Analysis | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|----------|----|------------|--|--|
| Budget Details | Total Budget | Forecast Spend | Variance | | RAG Status | | |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | % | | | |
| Roads Services | 2,933 | 3,013 | 80 | 3% | + | | |
| Service Description | | This service relates to Roads operations, design, structures, street lighting, road safety and school crossing patrols | | | | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Employee costs are adverse (£65k) because overtime is projected to be in excess of budget although this is partly offset by additional grant income (£30k). Electricity (£19k) and street lighting (£22k) costs have increased during the year to a level not anticipated when the budget was set. | | | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | management will continue to monitor all budget heads with a view to minimising the overspend | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | An adverse variance is anticipated | | | | | | |

| Outdoor Services | 187 | 168 | (19) | -10% | † | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----|------|------|----------|--|
| Service Description | This service covers the outdoor sporting facilities provided by WDC and public conveniences | | | | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Employee costs are favourable (£53k) because of a number of vacancies. This favourable variance has offset the adverse variance against electricity/gas (£24k). | | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | none necessary | | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | small favourable varia | nce | | | | |

PERIOD END DATE

Mitigating Action

Anticipated Outcome

30 June 2022

| | Variance Analysis | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|
| Budget Details | Total Budget | Forecast Spend | Varianc | Variance | |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | % | |
| Ground Maintenance & Street Cleaning Trading A/c | (2,239) | (2,162) | 78 | -3% | + |
| Service Description | services | | nds maintenance a | | • |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | | | o electricity costs. level not anticipate | , | • |
| Mitigating Action | None possible at | this time | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | A small adverse | variance is antic | ripated | | |
| Burial Grounds | (193) | (230) | (37) | 19% | |
| Service Description | , , | , | ces within the Cou | | • |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Income from internments/lairs is projected to exceed budget. | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | None necessary | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | A favourable vari | ance is anticipa | ted | | |
| 0 | (4.004) | (004) | 40 | 40/ | |
| Crematorium Service Description | (1,031) This service prov | (991) ides crematoriu | 40 m services within t | -4% he Council | area |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Gas costs are higher than budgeted (£15k) while income is projected to be less than budgeted, particularly from plaques/books of remembrance etc (£25k) | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | management will continue to monitor all budget heads with a view to minimising the overspend | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | small overspend | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Waste Services | 7,978 | 8,199 | | 3% | + |
| Service Description | Waste Collection | | • | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Employee costs are higher (£176k) as projected savings from waste route optimisation ,which depend on operating from a single depot, are not yet achievable . Expenditure on bin replacements is higher (£71k) than anticipated when the budget was set. These have been partly offset by reduced vehicle hires (£45k). | | | | |

none possible at present

Overspend anticipated

PERIOD END DATE

30 June 2022

| | Variance Analysis | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--|--|
| Budget Details | Total Budget | Forecast Spend | Variance | RAG Status | | |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 % | | | |
| Corporate Assets /Capital Investment Programme | (2,315) | (2,313) | 2 0% | + | | |
| Service Description | This service pro | vides asset and e | estate management | | | |
| Main Issues / Reason for Variance | Overall Corporate Assets/ Capital Investment Programme has a small adverse variance of £3k. However, there are favourable and adverse variances which offset each other. The main ones being: There has been an over recovery of income of £35k due to good estates management and maximising income. There is also a favourable variance on employee costs of £70k due to current vacancies. However, there is an adverse variance because, Aon/BAM PPP issued an Insurance Cost Sharing Report in December 2020, with a cost share of £117k to the Authority. In May 2021 they issued a statement that there was an error in the report and the sum should have read a cost share of £18k and not £117k. All information was passed to WDC's insurance broker for review who have recently confirmed there is no grounds to challenge the revised figure. This results in and adverse variance of £104k within supplies and services. | | | | | |
| Mitigating Action | Income and expenditure will continue to be monitored throughout the year. | | | | | |
| Anticipated Outcome | A small overspend is anticipated | | | | | |