Appendix 2

EQUALITY, HEALTH AND HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

This form is to be used in conjunction with the Equality, Health and Human Rights Impact Assessment Guidelines. Please refer to these before starting; if you require further guidance contact <u>community.planning@west-dunbarton.gov.uk</u>

| Section 1: Policy/Function/Decision (PFD) Details | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| A PFD is understood in the broad sense including the full range of functions, activities and decisions the council is responsible for. | | | | |
| Name of PFD: | Alexandria, Mitchell Way Development Opportunity- Compulsory Purchase Order | | | |
| Lead Department & other | HEED | | | |
| departments/ partners involved: | | | | |
| Responsible Officer | Michael McGuinness | | | |
| Impact Assessment Team | Jamie McCracken | | | |
| Is this a new or existing PFD? | PFD relates to purchase of land from third party ownership but forms a key element of an associated PFD concerning the disposal of a key town centre redevelopment opportunity. | | | |
| Start date: (the assessment should be | started prior to PFD development/drafting or at the early stages of review): 10/10/2011 | | | |
| End date (this should allow for the ass | essment to inform decision-making): 03/8/14 | | | |
| | | | | |
| What are the main aims of the PFD ? | To obtain approval to begin the Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) process to secure the purchase of five parcels of land within and adjacent to the redevelopment site in Alexandria town centre. | | | |
| Who are the main target groups/ | The project relates to the theme of Regeneration of the local economy. | | | |
| who will be affected by the PFD? | This will affect the owner of the five areas of land. The PFD will not affect any other groups. | | | |
| Relevance of PFD to the general equa | lity duties and equality groups, also record if there is no relevance giving reasons/ evidence) | | | |
| | rough either the preferred voluntary process or through the use of a CPO, will enable the | | | |
| disposal of the development site and which in turn will unlock a key regeneration step for Alexandria town centre. The current | | | | |
| owners have agreed a purchase figure and a CPO is being pursued to allow the Council to perfect its title as the landowner is not | | | | |
| granting absolute warrandice. However the landowner does not represent any of the protected characteristics listed. | | | | |
| • | a significantly advantageous impact upon the residents of Alexandria and the wider Vale of s to an anchor foodstore. Businesses will also benefit through the attraction and retention of | | | |

shoppers and visitors to the town centre.

Disposal of the development site to the preferred bidder would ensure that this key town centre site is improved to the benefit of residents and businesses. The bidder has been selected on the basis of their response to the Council's tender requirements which were developed through community consultation and background studies. The bidder will be held to the delivery of their scheme by entering into a developer agreement. The scheme will result in the introduction of a foodstore which will significantly improve the retail offer within the town centre to the benefit of local residents and in particular for those without access to private transport and who would otherwise have had to travel outwith Alexandria for a large shop. As such appointing a developer to deliver the foodstore will benefit the local population by delivering more local facilities.

| | If yes, complete all sections, 2-9 | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | If no, complete only sections 8-9 | | |
| | If don't know, complete sections 2& 3 to help assess relevance | | |
| Section 2: Evidence | | | |
| Please list the available evidence use gaps in evidence and what will be dor | d to assess the impact of this PFD, including the sources listed below. Please also identify any ne to address this. | | |
| Available evidence: | | | |
| Consultation/ Involvement with community, including individuals or groups or staff as relevant | The Council advertised the development opportunity through the Official Journal of the European Union as well as on site advertising. It was through this process that the landowners became aware of the proposals to dispose of the site. The Council subsequently entered into dialogue with the landowner and thereafter appointed a consultant to represent it in negotiations with the landowner. There has been frequent and detailed discussions between the two parties resulting in an agreed purchase price. | | |
| Research and relevant information | At the point of site assembly, the Council became aware of areas of land which it did not have clear title to. As a result, the Council took out a Notice of Title (NoT) to these areas of land. However at the point of marketing the site the landowner challenged the NoT and the Council therefore requires to acquire the land in order to perfect the Council's title. | | |
| | The Council has been working to dispose of this site to an appropriately qualified and experienced developer for a period of time. This has involved significant input into the tender documents, a thorough and robust procurement process and consultation with the | | |

| | local community and businesses. Significant background research has also been undertaken including a Retail Impact Assessment (RIA) in July 2011 which tested the impact of foodstores of various sizes upon the existing town centre. The result of the RIA informed the Council's approach to the tender documents to set a size threshold for the foodstore and applied a pass/fail mark to ensure it is delivered by the developer. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Officers and an appointed representative have been involved in negotiations with a third party landowner with the aim of achieving a voluntary agreement to purchase. | | |
| Officer knowledge | The landowner is the hereditary owner of large areas of the Vale of Leven and as such has owned a number of proposed redevelopment sites. While agreement has been reached with the landowner a CPO is required as the seller is not granting absolute warrandice to the land. There are no residential tenants living in the development area. There remain 2 commercial tenants with leases expiring 2015 and 2016. Give the likely timeline for development, however, these fit in with the development programme. Officers from a number of relevant departments, including Economic Development, Estates, Housing, Roads, Planning and Legal have been consulted on this process. No issues of | | |
| | equality have been raised. | | |
| Equality Monitoring information – including service and employee monitoring | No specific equalities monitoring is required to ensure fairness for this project. | | |
| Feedback from service users, partner or other organisation as relevant | r No feedback indicating any equalities issues from the consultation/involvement noted above or from other sources. | | |
| Other | | | |
| Are there any gaps in evidence? Ple | ase indicate how these will be addressed | | |
| Gaps identified | There are no identified gaps in data relevant to this process | | |
| Measure to address these | | | |
| Note: Link to Section 6 below Action P | lan to address any gaps in evidence | | |

| Section 3: Involvement and Consultation Include involvement and consultation relevant to this PFD, including what has already been done and what is required to be done, how this will be taken and results of the consultation. | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Please outline details of any involvement or consultation, including dates carried out, protected characteristics. Also include | | | | | |
| involvement or consultation to be carried out as part of the developing and implementing the policy. | | | | | |
| Details of consultations | Date | Findings | | | Characteristics |
| | | | | | Race |
| The regeneration proposals were developed from the Alexandria Town Centre Masterplan | | | | | Sex |
| which was the subject of extensive community consultation. The Alexandria Regeneration | | | | | Gender Reassignment |
| Forum, formed of local stakeholders, business and community representatives have also | | | | Disability | |
| been consulted throughout the development of the proposals. | | | | | Age |
| | | | | | Religion/ Belief |
| No equality issues have been raised throughout the course of the consultation process. | | | | | Sexual Orientation |
| | | | | | Civil Partnership/ Marriage |
| | | | | | Pregnancy/ Maternity |
| | | | | | Health |
| | | | | | Other |
| | | | | | |
| Note: Link to Section 6 below Action Plan | | | | | |
| Section 4: Analysis of positive and Negative Impacts | | | | | |
| Protected Characteristic | Positiv | e Impact | Negative Impact | No impact | |
| Race | | | | X | |
| Sex | | | | X | |
| Gender Re-assignment X | | | | | |

| Disability | | | X | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Age | | | X | |
| Religion/ Belief | | | X | |
| Sexual Orientation | | | X | |
| Civil Partnership/ Marriage | | | X | |
| Pregnancy/ Maternity | | | X | |
| Socio- economic | X | | | |
| Human Rights | | | X | |
| Health | | | X | |
| Other | | | x | |
| Note: Link to Section 6 below Act | ion Plan in terms of a | addressing impacts | | |
| Section 5: Addressing impacts Select which of the following apply (use can choose more than one) and give a brief explanation – to be expanded in Section 6: Action Plan | | | | |
| 1. No major change | Following a review, | it is considered that th | e process will not impact negatively upon any of the | |
| | protected character | ristics listed. | | |
| 2. Continue the PFD | | | | |
| 3. Adjust the PFD | | | | |
| 4. Stop and remove the | | | | |
| PFD | | | | |

Give reasons:

Note: Link to Section 6 below Action Plan

Section 6: Action Plan Please describe any action which will be taken following the assessment in order to;

- reduce or remove any negative impacts,
- promote any positive impacts, or
- gather further information or evidence or further consultation required

| Action | Responsible person (s) | Intended outcome | Date for completion | Protected Characteristic |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Race |
| | | | | Gender |
| | | | | Gender Reassignment |
| | | | | Disability |
| | | | | Age |
| | | | | Religion/ Belief |
| | | | | Sexual Orientation |
| | | | | Civil Partnership/ Marriage |
| | | | | Pregnancy/ Maternity |
| | | | | Socio- economic |
| | | | | Human Rights |
| | | | | Health |
| | | | | Other |
| Are there any negative impacts which cannot be reduced or removed? please outline the reasons for continuing PFD | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Section 7: Monitorin | • | and monitoring of the policy | | |
| How will the PFD be mon | | | | |
| | | | | |

| What equalities monitoring will | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| be put in place? | | | | |
| When will the policy be reviewed? | | | | |
| Is there any procurement | | | | |
| involved in this PFD? Yes/No | | | | |
| Section 8: Signatures | | | | |
| The following signatures are required: | | | | |
| The following signatures are required. | | | | |
| Lead/ Responsible Officer: | Signature: | Date: | | |
| | Michael McGuinness | | | |
| EIA Trained Officer: | Signature: | Date: | | |
| | Jamie McCracken | | | |
| Section 9: Follow up action | | | | |
| Publishing: Forward to community | Signature: | Date: | | |
| Planning and Policy for inclusion on | | | | |
| intranet/ internet pages | | | | |
| Service planning: Link to service | Signature: | Date: | | |
| planning/ covalent – update your | | | | |
| service plan/ covalent actions | | | | |
| accordingly | | | | |
| Give details: H/2011/ED/06 Improve Mitchell | | | | |
| Committee Reporting: complete | Signature: | Date: | | |
| relevant paragraph on committee report | | | | |
| and provide further information as necessary | | | | |
| Completed form: Pass completed | Signature: | Date: | | |
| forms retained within department and | Signature. | | | |
| copy passed to Policy Development | | | | |
| Officer (Equality) within Community | | | | |
| Planning and Policy | | | | |