

Local Development Plan 2

Green Network and Green Infrastructure Supplementary Guidance

November 2022



## Status of this document

This document has been prepared as Supplementary Guidance to the Local Development Plan (LDP2). It is a material consideration in planning decisions, and will be adopted as part of the development plan following the adoption of LDP2.

This document is also available in other languages, large print and audio format on request. Please contact Corporate Communications at:

Address: West Dunbartonshire Council, Council Offices, 16

Church Street Dumbarton, G82 1QL

Phone: 01389 737527

Email: Communications@west-dunbarton.gov.uk

#### **Arabic**

هذه الوثيقة متاحة أيضا بلغات أخرى والأحرف الطباعية الكبيرة وبطريقة سمعية عند الطلب.

#### Hindi

अनुरोध पर यह दस्तावेज़ अन्य भाषाओं में, बड़े अक्षरों की छपाई और सुनने वाले माध्यम पर भी उपलब्ध है

# Punjabi

ਇਹ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਹੋਰ ਭਾਸ਼ਾਵਾਂ ਵਿਚ. ਵੱਡੇ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਅਤੇ ਆਡੀਓ ਟੇਪ 'ਤੇ ਰਿਕਰਾਡ ਹੋਇਆ ਵੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੇ ਲਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।

#### Urdu

# Chinese (Cantonese)

本文件也可應要求,製作成其他語文或特大字體版本,也可製作成錄音帶。

#### Polish

Dokument ten jest na życzenie udostępniany także w innych wersjach językowych, w dużym druku lub w formacie audio.

# British Sign Language

BSL users can contact us via contactSCOTLAND-BSL, the on-line British Sign Language interpreting service.

Find out more on the contactSCOTLAND website.

# Contents

Part 1 - West Dunbartonshire's green network and green infrastructure	3
Introduction	3
What is open space	3
What is greenspace?	3
What is green infrastructure?	4
What is a green network?	4
What are the benefits of green networks and green infrastructure?	4
Planning and the green network and green infrastructure	5
Safeguarding the green network and green infrastructure	6
Enhancing and expanding the green network	7
Part 2 – Green infrastructure delivery in new development	8
Embedding the green network and green infrastructure	8
Green infrastructure functions	8
Green infrastructure function: water management	9
Green infrastructure function: habitat enhancement	9
Green infrastructure function: access networks	9
Green infrastructure function: open space	9
The requirement for green infrastructure in different types of development	10
Part 3 – Open space in new residential development	12
Open space standards for residential development	12
Accessibility standard	12
Quality standard	12
Quantity standard	13
How will these standards be used?	14
On-site provision for residential developments	15
Green infrastructure stewardship	15
Part 4 – Developer contributions and green infrastructure projects	16
Legal and policy framework	16
Developer contribution framework	16
When will contributions be sought?	16
What will the contribution be?	16

How is the contribution calculated?	17
When will developer contributions be required to be paid?	18
Financial mechanisms	18
What projects will developer contributions be spent on?	18
Are there any circumstances when developer contributions will be reduced?	18
Monitoring	19
ppendix 1: Developer's flowchart2	20
ppendix 2: Green infrastructure checklist2	21
ppendix 3: Developer contributions examples2	22
Example 1 - using the quantity standard2	22
Example 2 - using the quantity standard for small sites	24
Example 3 - accessibility standard: on-site provision or financial contribution 2	26
Example 4 - using the quantity standard for large sites	28
Example 5 - not all of the site is accessible	31
Example 6 - looking at quality standards	33
ppendix 4: Information required to be provided in development appraisals	35
Appendix 5: Open Space Audit information note	37

# Part 1 - West Dunbartonshire's green network and green infrastructure

#### Introduction

West Dunbartonshire has an outstanding natural environment, which has been shaped by the area's history, and defines West Dunbartonshire as a place today. This environment is vital to future prosperity and the health and wellbeing of West Dunbartonshire. The area boasts a wide variety of parks and gardens; play spaces and sports areas; woodland; natural and semi-natural green spaces; riverside and canalside spaces; as well as the designed landscape at Overtoun House and outstanding countryside such as the Kilpatrick Hills and the Muirs, which together form a valuable and important green network.

The strategy of Local Development Plan 2 seeks to safeguard the existing green network, and to ensure new development enhances and expands it by improving existing green infrastructure assets, the connections between them and by creating new multifunctional green infrastructure. As a result, the Local Development Plan has been awarded the Building with Nature Award, which means that:

- 1. the policies within the Plan ensure that green infrastructure is considered from the outset of the development process, throughout its construction, and is sustainably managed after the development has been completed; and
- 2. it demonstrates a whole lifecycle approach to green infrastructure which will ensure development that comes forward delivers healthy, liveable and sustainable communities within West Dunbartonshire.

The purpose of this Supplementary Guidance is to:

- 1. define the green network in West Dunbartonshire and identify its existing assets and opportunities (Part 1);
- 2. outline the principles for embedding green infrastructure at the heart of new development using a green infrastructure first approach (Part 2);
- 3. define the open space standards that will be required of new development and how these standards will be achieved (Part 3); and
- 4. describe how developer contributions for green infrastructure associated with new developments will be calculated (Part 4).

#### What is open space

Open space is space within and on the edge of settlements comprising green space and civic areas such as squares, market places and other paved or hard landscaped areas with a civic function.

#### What is greenspace?

Greenspace is space which provides a recreational function, an amenity function, or aesthetic value to the public such as areas of: grass, trees, other vegetation, water, but not including agricultural or horticultural land.

# What is green infrastructure?

Green infrastructure is features or spaces within the natural and built environments that provide a range of ecosystem services.

# What is a green network?

The green network is connected areas of green infrastructure and open space that together form an integrated and multi-functional network.

#### **GREENSPACE**

is any vegetated land or structure, water, path or geological feature within and on the edges of settlements.

+Design +Management

+ DESIGN

+ MANAGEMENT

+ FUNCTIONALITY

## GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

is greenspace which is designed and/or managed to provide identified functions.

ONNECTION

+ CONNECTION

+INTEGRATION

FUNCTIONALITY

+ MULTI-

are connected areas of green infrastructure that together form an integrated and multifunctional network.

**GREEN NETWORKS** 

#### **GREENSPACE**

is space which provides a recreational function, an amenity function, or aesthetic value to the public such as areas of: grass, trees, other vegetation, water, but not including agricultural or horticultural land.

# GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Features or spaces within the natural and built environments that provide a range of ecosystem services.

#### **GREEN NETWORKS**

are connected areas of green infrastructure that together form an integrated and multifunctional network.

# Figure 1 The relationship between greenspace, green infrastructure and the green network

# What are the benefits of green networks and green infrastructure?

Green networks and green infrastructure can provide a range of beneficial outcomes, including economic; environmental; climatic; mental and physical health; and social improvements.

Greenspace, green infrastructure and the green network have a number of benefits:

- habitats for biodiversity;
- off-road active travel and recreation routes:
- locations for sport and recreation;
- areas for the management of water; and
- defining distinctive, healthy, sustainable and attractive places in which to live, work, visit and enjoy.

The Scottish Government's Green Infrastructure: Design and Placemaking (2011) provides more detail on the benefits that green networks and green infrastructure can have as well as how green infrastructure can deliver the six qualities of successful places; welcoming, distinctive, safe and pleasant, easy to move around, resource efficient and adaptable.<sup>1</sup>

These assets become more valuable when they are designed and managed to be:

- multi-functional, thereby delivering more than one benefit;
- integrated into where people live and other urban infrastructure; and
- **connected** to other areas of green infrastructure, thereby providing off-road routes for people and habitat corridors for wildlife to move around.

#### Planning and the green network and green infrastructure

The planning system is a key mechanism for delivering the green network, and the green network can help deliver the outcomes, particularly with regard to improving health and wellbeing, meeting climate change targets, placemaking and securing positive effects for biodiversity.

Local Development Plan 2 establishes a strategy and policies for the protection, enhancement and expansion of the green network and green infrastructure. The strategy of the Plan seeks to safeguard and where possible ensure that development enhances and expands both of these important resources.

Local Development Plan 2 identifies the Strategic Green Network as a Key Asset that the Council wants to see protected and enhanced. The key corridors and assets which make up the Strategic Green Network are highlighted in the Local Development Plan 2 Strategic Green Network Map. Strategic Green Network Projects that are considered necessary in order to strengthen West Dunbartonshire's contribution to the Central Scotland Green Network are identified on the Strategic Green Network Projects map, Figure 2. This illustrates how West Dunbartonshire's green network will: be integrated through the urban areas of the Vale of Leven corridor and the Clyde Waterfront; provide connections for people to the Kilpatrick and Carman Hills; span the Vale of Leven to provide wildlife corridors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2011/11/04140525/5




Figure 2. A spatial strategy for West Dunbartonshire's green network

The Local Development Plan 2 strategy for the green network is to (1) safeguard the existing green network; and (2) ensure new development enhances and expands the green network by creating new multifunctional green and open spaces, and improving existing green network assets and the connections between them.

## Safeguarding the green network and green infrastructure

Local Development Plan 2 seeks to safeguard the green network through a series of Policies, as detailed below:

- 1. Policy KH 1 seeks to protect and enhance the Kilpatrick Hills Local Landscape Area:
- 2. Policy GI1 seeks to ensure that safeguarded open space and outdoor sports facilities are protected;
- 3. Policy ENV 1 seeks to conserve, protect and where appropriate, enhance, European and National designated sites;
- 4. Policy ENV 2 seeks to protect the landscape character of West Dunbartonshire;
- 5. Policy ENV 3 protecting carbon rich soils through the area;
- 6. Policy ENV 4 protects Forestry, Trees and Woodlands;
- 7. Policy ENV 5 seeks to protect and, where appropriate, enhance the water environment;
- 8. Policy ENV 6 seeks to avoid development on floodplains and to ensure that flood risk is avoided elsewhere; and
- 9. Policy CON 3 protects Core Paths and Natural Routes.

# **Enhancing and expanding the green network**

Local Development Plan 2 seeks to enhance and expand the green network through the following policies:

- 1. Policy CP1 seeks to ensure new development creates successful, sustainable places
- 2. Policy CP2 requires developments to deliver green infrastructure that contributes to the development and enhancement of a multi-functional green network
- 3. Policy GI2 which requires new developments to meet open space standards.
- 4. Policy GI3 which encourages the provision of allotments and community gardens
- 5. Policy GI4 which establishes a framework for the provision of developer contributions towards green infrastructure.

# Part 2 – Green infrastructure delivery in new development

# Embedding the green network and green infrastructure

In order to safeguard, enhance and expand the green network and green infrastructure, development proposals are required to:

- 1. **Protect the existing green network:** Green infrastructure and open spaces which currently exist on a site should be protected unless there is adequate mitigation which enhances the quality of the network elsewhere <u>providing an</u> overall net gain in quantitative or qualitative provision.
- 2. **Understand the wider green network:** It is vital that development proposals look beyond the boundaries of individual sites, however large or small, to consider the broader spatial context and create a more coordinated and joined-up network.
- 3. Integrate green infrastructure into the design process for all development proposals: The greatest green network benefits can be achieved if green infrastructure is considered integral to the development design process and considered early, rather than an afterthought once other elements have become 'fixed'.
- 4. **Create new green infrastructure as part of the development**: Where development increases the number of people who would use and derive benefit from the green network, proposals should seek to extend the network through the creation of new green and open spaces which have designed functions for a range of users.
- 5. **Enhance the functionality and biodiversity value of existing assets**: The site appraisal and design process should identify opportunities to enhance the value of existing assets.
- 6. **Link to the existing network**: Green infrastructure and path connections on new sites should link up with the existing green network where possible.
- 7. **Contribute financially towards off-site projects:** In some instances the best way of achieving green network enhancement will be by making a financial contribution to projects beyond a site's boundary, for example upgrading a local play park or path network. Part 4 outlines the circumstance and level of contribution that may be required.
- 8. **Look long-term towards future management and maintenance.** How green infrastructure and open spaces will be sustained should be considered from the outset. Without careful consideration being given to future management and maintenance of assets the range of benefits will reduce quickly over time.

#### **Green infrastructure functions**

Policy CP 2 of Local Development Plan 2 sets out how the different functions of green infrastructure should be integrated within developments. These functions are water management, habitat enhancement, access and open space. The integration of these functions within the design and layout of the development is necessary in order to ensure that the development ensures a whole life approach to green

infrastructure provision and links into and contributes to the wider green network. Taking a green infrastructure first approach to integrating these functions within the development, will help to create a sustainable, well managed and healthy, biodiversity rich, green place, which helps to contribute to fit and active communities.

## Green infrastructure function: water management

The Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2011 requires all surface water from new development to be treated by a sustainable drainage system (SuDS) before it is discharged into the water environment, except for single houses or where the discharge will be into coastal water.

SuDS help to protect water quality and reduce potential for flood risk by facilitating natural drainage of surface water run-off (including roof water). They encourage infiltration and attenuation to prevent and reduce pollution from diffuse urban sources and release capacity in water management infrastructure.

However, not all SuDS systems deliver a range of multiple benefits as envisaged by the Integrated Green Infrastructure (IGI) approach. SuDS should be designed and constructed to be multi-functional green infrastructure elements, providing visual interest, recreational amenity and biodiversity value.

#### Green infrastructure function: habitat enhancement

Many sites will have opportunities to create/enhance habitats and habitat-nature networks, create connections between those habitats and networks or enhance the biodiversity of the site through specific planting and design.

Proposals for new development should must consider whether the site can protect or enhance habitats to safeguard existing networks or deliver new habitat to connect fragmented networks. This work should be informed by appropriate surveys and assessment. guided by tThe Green Network Blueprint developed by the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Green Network Partnership can provide strategic guidance on, which details existing habitats critical to the wider network and where connections should be made to improve habitat connectivity.

#### Green infrastructure function: access networks

A successful green network needs to have good <u>multi-user</u> connections between the different open spaces and facilities, such as shops and schools, which exist in and around our settlements. New development has a role to play in this by ensuring that sites connect to the existing green network.

When appraising the access potential for a site, consideration should be given to what opportunities exist within the site, including how houses will link with open space and facilities using safe, off-road Green Active Travel routes, and what opportunities exist to <u>provide multi-use</u> connect<u>ions</u> with existing access networks outwith the site. Proposals for new development should consider whether the site can protect or enhance existing assets or deliver new Green Active Travel infrastructure to address identified gaps.

#### Green infrastructure function: open space

This is covered in greater detail in Part 3.

# The requirement for green infrastructure in different types of development

Not all forms of development will have to contribute directly or indirectly to open space provision. The level of contribution expected will be proportionate to the scale and impact of that development on the green network. Developments with the greatest impact are those that increase user demands on the green network i.e. residential uses. Table 1 sets out these requirements and there is a flow chart in Appendix 1 which provides a quick guide to the expectations for provision of open space.

	Residential development (units)			New commercial or industrial development		
TYPES OF PROVISION	1-9	10-49	50+	development		
Layout to include landscaping and setting	<b>√</b>	<b>//</b>	<b>/</b> /	<b>~</b>		
Green and Open Spaces incl. play spaces and equipped areas	×	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b> √	×		
Access Networks e.g. walkable link to green network	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	<b>~</b>		
Water Management e.g. SUDS	<b>4</b> 4	<b>√</b> √	<b>√</b> √	<b>√</b> √		
Habitat NetworksProvision/ Enhancement e.g. biodiversity	<b>√</b>	<u>√√</u> +	<u>√√</u> ≠	<b>√</b>		
Off-site contribution/delivery	<b>4</b> 4	✓	✓	✓		

× not required

✓ required where need/opportunity identified

✓ required

Table 1 Matrix of types of development and indicative green infrastructure requirements

New build commercial and industrial developments should comply with the principles of good design set out in Policies CP1 and CP 2 of Local Development Plan 2 and the Creating Places Supplementary Guidance, and look for opportunities to provide amenity space, access links, SUDS and enhance biodiversity through planting.

All residential development is expected to enhance the green network and applicants should fully explore all opportunities for doing so at the outset of masterplanning and site design. The requirements for green infrastructure associated with residential development are based on an assessment of need and opportunity using the estimated population size of the development and the standards of accessibility, quality and quantity. The green network requirements for each individual site will be discussed and agreed at pre- application stage.

Design guidance for integrating green infrastructure into new development is set out in the Creating Places Supplementary Guidance.

Appendix 2 provides a checklist for ensuring the principles and functions set out above have been considered within development proposals.

# Part 3 – Open space in new residential development

#### Open space standards for residential development

In order to create a valued green network within West Dunbartonshire each component of the green network has to be "fit for purpose", in other words, it is in a condition that can support its intended purpose and function. Three key standards are used to determine whether a component is "fit for purpose". These are

- 1. Accessibility
- 2. Quality; and
- 3. Quantity

These standards will be used to:

- 1. inform developers what the minimum requirements are for their sites;
- 2. prioritise works to provide/enhance facilities;
- 3. identify areas where open spaces are not fit for purpose; and
- 4. identify where there is a deficit in provision

### **Accessibility standard**

This is the principle standard and sets a threshold for how close people should live to their nearest publicly usable open space. The Council is keen to ensure that the distance to open spaces takes into account the walking abilities of children and older people. It is assumed that a child would be able to walk 250m in approximately 5 minutes and this distance defines the standard. The priority is to ensure that people have easy access to multi-purpose and good quality spaces that meet their needs.

The accessibility standard is:

Everyone will live within a 250m walk of a 0.2ha usable and good quality greenspace which meets the quality standard

When carrying out a site appraisal, developers should assess the distance of usable, good quality open space, including the type, relative to the site being considered, and this assessment should be provided in plan form. The distances should not be "as the crow flies" but based on a network analysis using streets, paths and access points to open spaces and highlighting barriers to those spaces.

This assessment will inform what type of space, if any, is required within the development, and its preferred location, or if a financial contribution to an existing space is more appropriate.

Small, single use spaces such as stand-alone play areas should not be considered as part of this assessment.

#### **Quality standard**

The quality of an open space is an assessment-derived score based on work undertaken as part of the Open Space Audit carried out in 2016 and updated in 2018

to reflect changes to some spaces (see Appendix 5). It measures the quality of spaces against a set of criteria relating to the site's management, usability, biodiversity, accessibility and infrastructure. The quality measure has two main uses:

- 1. to identify where investment is needed in existing spaces
- 2. to ensure that new spaces meet/exceed the quality standard.

## The quality standard is:

All publicly usable open spaces should meet or exceed the threshold score set out in Table 2

Where a space is identified as being below the Threshold Score, this indicates the quality of that space is below standard and requires investment, and cannot in its current condition count towards open space provision for the development site. All new provision should exceed the threshold scores in Table 2 and should have management and maintenance mechanisms and funding in place to ensure that the quality is maintained into the future. The quality assessment for existing spaces will be used to inform what enhancements are required if it falls below the threshold.

Open space typology	Quality standard threshold score
Parks and gardens	69%
Residential amenity greenspace	60%
Natural/semi-natural greenspaces	55%
Play space	50%

Table 2 - Quality standards for greenspace types

#### **Quantity standard**

The quantity standard is the amount of open space required per person. For West Dunbartonshire the standard for new developments is as follows:

Following reference to the accessibility and quality standard, where provision is required, All new housing developments should provide access to 30m<sup>2</sup> of publicly useable open space per person.

Development sites should provide this quantity of open space as a minimum where the accessibility standard and quality standard identifies a need based on an analysis of open space provision for the wider area. The range and mix of open space within a development should reflect the findings of the site and wider context appraisal, but would normally include formal open space such as a park, multi-

functional amenity greenspace, formal and informal play space, natural/semi-natural greenspace and green corridors. Incidental greenspace and landscaping will not count to towards the quantity standard. Developers should provide a site plan indicating which spaces comprise provision of open space. Play areas must include accessible play equipment so that they may be enjoyed by users of all abilities.

The projected population of any development is calculated using the number of bedrooms. Developers should use Table 3 to work out the average occupancy for their site. Appendix 3 provides worked examples of how this is done.

Dwelling Size	Household size	Quantity of Open Space
1 bed	1.3	<b>39m2</b> (30 x 1.3)
2 bed	1.9	<b>57m2</b> (30 x 1.9)
3 bed	2.5	<b>75m2</b> (30 x 2.5)
4 bed	3.0	<b>90m2</b> (30 x 3.0)
5 bed	3.3	<b>99m2</b> (30 x 3.3)

Table 3 Average household occupancy based on Scottish Household Survey (2013)

The provision of public open space does not preclude or replace the need for private garden/amenity areas that are commensurate with the needs of the type and size of properties.

#### How will these standards be used?

Accessibility, quality and quantity standards will be used to inform provision of open space for new development in West Dunbartonshire.

Developers will need to demonstrate that the open space they propose in relation to a development site is based on an assessment of these measures.

Accessibility is a key objective for the Council so even if a development site is in an area which has a good general provision of open space, if these are not readily accessible from the site i.e. within 250m, then provision on-site will have to be made or works carried out to improve accessibility e.g. new footpath connection.

# On-site provision for residential developments

Green infrastructure should be designed into the proposal at an early stage in the process and the open space standards of accessibility, quality and quantity used to determine what level of on-site provision there should be. Design Statements should record the appraisal carried out of the existing green network and set out justification for the level of provision.

There is an expectation that major residential developments provide an equipped play area if there is not adequate provision within 250m. Equipped play areas, must include accessible play equipment so that they can be enjoyed by users of all abilities. For some residential sites it will not be appropriate to form play spaces or equipped play areas and instead a financial contribution is expected.

Policy GI4 and Part 4 of this Guidance sets out the circumstances under which offsite provision or a financial contribution to enhance the green network may be appropriate. In these cases, where off-site provision is acceptable, a hybrid approach may be appropriate if part of the open space requirement can be met on-site with a contribution towards meeting the rest of the requirement off-site, may be appropriate.

# **Green infrastructure stewardship**

Well-designed green infrastructure should continue to deliver multiple benefits into the future. Consideration as to how the various features of the green network will be maintained will ensure that it remains 'fit for purpose'.

Just as 'grey infrastructure' elements, such as roads and drains, require ongoing maintenance, so does green infrastructure. Many of the problems associated with the quality of existing open spaces reflect the lack of initial consideration given to funding and management mechanisms for effective long term management of green infrastructure. Good stewardship ensuring the long-term quality of green infrastructure is vital to a well- functioning green network.

Partnership working and agreements between public agencies and other organisations may be necessary to recognise the multi-functional nature of the green infrastructure and ensure that resources that would otherwise be spent on 'grey' infrastructure are allocated to the effective management of the green infrastructure.

Applicants should demonstrate how their design proposals will be sustainably managed over the long- term including financial models for future funding of appropriate management and maintenance.

Planning conditions and legal agreements may be used to ensure that new developments provide details of the ongoing maintenance of sites. There are different options for management and maintenance depending on the tenure and nature of the site. For private housing, the preferred method is a requirement for maintenance and management of all common areas through a factor to form part of the land title for all owners of a site. Registered Social Landlords will have to provide evidence of a regular maintenance contract.

# Part 4 – Developer contributions and green infrastructure projects

This section provides further information and guidance on Policy GI4 of Local Development Plan 2 and the Council's requirements for development contributions for green infrastructure.

# Legal and policy framework

This Supplementary Guidance has been prepared within the context of the following:

- 1. Town & Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (as amended);
- 2. Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973
- 3. Town and Country Planning (Development Planning) (Scotland) Regulations 2008:
- 4. Circular 3/2012: Planning Obligations and Good Neighbour Agreements; and;
- 5. West Dunbartonshire Local Development Plan 2.

# **Developer contribution framework**

The requirement for a developer to make an appropriate development contribution, where the circumstances set out in Policy GI4 arise, is mandatory and will be treated as a material consideration in the assessment and determination of planning applications for residential development.

As a result, the Council has established a framework of how developer contributions are collected and how they will be monitored annually, which is described in the monitoring section below.

In addition to any contributions made under Policy GI4 and this Supplementary Guidance, developers will require to meet the costs of providing the service infrastructure necessary for their development.

## When will contributions be sought?

Contributions will be sought in line with the circumstances set out in Policy GI4 of Local Development Plan 2. These are:

- 1. Smaller sites of less than 10 units where provision of on-site recreational green infrastructure is not possible.
- 2. Developments where meeting the green infrastructure standards for on-site provision is not appropriate, e.g. high density urban areas
- 3. Where a development site is accessible to open spaces but those spaces are of a poor quality; and
- 4. Where development sites are accessible to good quality open spaces but a contribution to the green network is required to enhance its provision to the Central Scotland Green Network.

#### What will the contribution be?

The West Dunbartonshire standard for the required quantity of greenspace is 30m<sup>2</sup> per person.

The contribution for financial year 2022/23 is £30 for every m<sup>2</sup> of open space required for the site. This figure is based on what it would cost to provide a facility

2,000 m<sup>2</sup> (0.2ha) in size which includes a small play park, kick-about area, biodiversity area and path connection. Excluding land costs, this would be in the region of £60,000.

As the costs of developing and implementing green infrastructure projects will increase over time, it is considered prudent and necessary that project costs are kept in line with the rate of inflation. Therefore, this guidance stipulates that project costs are index linked and that costs are revised on 1st April each year using the General Building Cost Index (GBCI) to reflect the increased project costs as they arise. If the GBCI falls then developer contributions will remain at the same rate as in the previous year

#### How is the contribution calculated?

To calculate the contribution the first step is to work out how much open space would be required for the site using the quantity standard and estimated site population.

The projected population of any development is calculated using the number of bedrooms, which is based on data from 2013 extrapolated from the 2010 Census. Developers should use Table 4 to work out the occupancy for their site. Appendix 3 provides worked examples of how this is done.

Dwelling size	Household size	Quantity of greenspace to be provided per house
1 bed	1.3	39 m <sup>2</sup> (30 x 1.3)
2 bed	1.9	57 m <sup>2</sup> (30 x 1.9)
3 bed	2.5	75 m² (30 x 2.5)
4 bed	3.0	90 m <sup>2</sup> (30 x 3.0)
5 bed	3.3	99 m² (30 x 3.3)

Table 4 – Open space standards for residential development

The contribution is calculated by totalling the amount of greenspace required across the site based on number and dwelling size of units. That is then multiplied by £30 per sq. metre (2022/23). For example, if there are 25 x 2 bed dwellings and 30 x 3 bed dwellings then the calculation would be:

Total open space provision = 
$$25 \times 57m^2 = 1,425$$
  
+  $30 \times 75m^2 = 2,250$   
= 3,675 sq. metres

Developer contribution calculation =  $3,675 \times £30 = £110,250$ 

# When will developer contributions be required to be paid?

The Council will require developers to make their developer contributions to the Council prior to planning consent being issued, unless the payment of the contributions is determined through a Section 75 or other agreement agreed between the Council and the developer, which specifies a different payment schedule or date for the contributions to be made.

#### Financial mechanisms

In most cases, developer contributions will be delivered through either a Section 75 or Section 69 agreement. In some cases it may be deemed that these mechanisms are not required or appropriate, and payment may be made up front, prior to planning consent being issued.

Where it has been agreed that payment will not be made at the time of concluding the legal agreement, i.e. where phased payments have been agreed, the sums involved will be index linked to the General Building Cost Index (GBCI). However, if the GBCI falls then developer contributions will continue at the same rate as in the previous period. In certain instances, the party to the agreement may also be required to guarantee the availability of funds, for example through a bond with a bank or insurance company in order to prevent any default in payment through bankruptcy, liquidation or refusal to pay. Late payments may also incur interest charges, which will be calculated at 5% per annum above the base rate of the Bank of England.

## What projects will developer contributions be spent on?

The Council will publish a schedule of general and specific projects that developer contributions will be used towards. This will be updated annually.

Are there any circumstances when developer contributions will be reduced? Policy GI4 states that contributions sought under this policy will be waived or reduced only in exceptional circumstances – for example, where a developer demonstrates that their development would have exceptional development costs and/or overriding economic, social or other benefits.

Where it can be demonstrated that paying the full contribution would make a development unviable, developers may be permitted to negotiate a reduced contribution.

In such cases, developers will be required to submit a full development appraisal, including costs, on an open book basis, to the Council for consideration. For verification purposes, the Council may seek an assessment of the submitted appraisal from the District Valuer or other mutually agreed independent valuation surveyor at cost of the developer/applicant.

Appendix 4 of this Supplementary Guidance provides further advice on the level of information that will be required in the development appraisal and how this will be assessed by the Council.

In addition to developers being able to negotiate reduced contributions, the Council also provides flexible methods of developer contribution payments. Consequently,

developers may be able to enter into an agreement with the Council in order to arrange for the payment of developer contributions at a later stage in the development process. This allows flexibility to meet changes in the wider economy.

# **Monitoring**

The Council has an agreed system for collecting, distributing and monitoring developer contributions which was approved by Planning Committee on 6th September 2017.


The Development Planning and Place Team, in conjunction with the Council's Finance Service, monitor the Developer Contributions fund for auditing and project management purposes.

A monitoring report will be presented to Planning Committee on an annual basis which updates the committee on:

- 1. the amount of developer contributions received;
- 2. which projects have been undertaken and the total cost for each project;
- 3. the remaining developer contributions held by the Council; and
- 4. the general and specific projects that the contributions will be used towards.

The time period in which developer contributions must be spent within is 10 years from the grant of planning consent and/or when the development contribution has been paid to the Council, whichever is later. This length of time is considered appropriate because of the length of time some developments take to complete and because, in some instances, contributions will be towards larger green infrastructure projects which will be funded from a variety of sources. Should the contribution not be spent in this timeframe, unless there has been exceptional circumstances, the money will be returned to the applicant including the interest that has been accrued on the contribution.

# **Appendix 1: Developer's flowchart**




Major residential developments (50 units or more) will be required to provide on-site play equipment if there is not an equipped play area with 250m of the site.

\*\* If a residential development site meets the quantity standard through on-site provision, no financial contribution to the green network is required.

# **Appendix 2: Green infrastructure checklist**

Green Infrastructure Planning Policy Compliance



# **Appendix 3: Developer contributions examples**

# Example 1 - using the quantity standard

A residential site proposes a mixed development of 80 units comprising flats, terraced, semi-detached and detached properties. There are two blocks of 12 flats consisting of 6 1-bed and 18 2-bed flats. There are 56 houses comprising a mix of eight 2-bed terraced houses, thirty 3-bed semi-detached houses and eighteen 4-bed detached properties. What is the expected occupancy and what should the minimum open space provision be?

STEP 1 – Work out the number of bedrooms

Unit Type	Number of Units
1 Bed	6
2 Bed	26
3 Bed	30
4 Bed	18
TOTAL	80

STEP 2 – Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	6	234
2	1.9	57	26	1482
3	2.5	75	30	2250
4	3	90	28	2520

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
5	3.3	99	0	0
		Total	80	6486

STEP 3 – Add the open space provisions together to get the total open space required which would be in this case 6,486 sq.m.

This is the amount of open space to be provided for a development proposal of this size. The form of this needs to be determined using the site appraisal method. For example, in a situation whereby the site is not within 250 metres walk of a 0.2ha usable greenspace, then 6,486 sq.m

# **Developer flowchart analysis**

Is the proposal for 10 or more units? – Yes

Is the site accessible to existing open spaces i.e. does it meet the accessibility standard? No

On-site provision should be based on the quantity and quality standards for residential development. Financial contributions for all/part will be considered in some cases

# Example 2 - using the quantity standard for small sites

A small housing opportunity site proposes a block of four one-bed flats. What is the expected occupancy rate and the minimum open space provision?

STEP 1 – Work out the number of bedrooms

Unit Type	Number of Units
1 Bed	4
2 Bed	0
3 Bed	0
4 Bed	0
TOTAL	4

STEP 2 - Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	4	156
		Total	4	156

**STEP 3** – 156 sq.m is the amount of open space to be provided. However, as <u>per the Developer's Flowchart (Appendix 1)</u>, <u>as</u> it is a small site of less than ten units and therefore requires a financial contribution to be provided instead of providing on-site.

**STEP 4** – Multiply the open space provision by financial contribution rate of £30 per sq.m 156 sq.m x £30 = £4,680

**Developer flowchart analysis** 

Is the proposal for 10 or more units? – No

A financial contribution, based on the quantity standard, for the wider Green network will be required.

# Example 3 - accessibility standard: on-site provision or financial contribution

The development site is a gap site within a built up area. It is 0.41ha in size and the proposal is to build a single block of flats. There is a mix of 15 one-bed and 30 two-bed flats. What would the developer be required to provide?

STEP 1 – Using the flow chart in Appendix 1, the proposal is for more than ten units so the first step is to see if it meets the accessibility standard i.e. is it within 250m of a 0.2ha space? The site is in an urban area where a high density development is supported. The applicant has made a case for making a financial contribution to upgrade play equipment in a large park less than 250m walking distance from the development site. It is agreed that off-site provision is more appropriate in this instance so the contribution needs to be calculated.

STEP 2 - Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	15	585
2	1.9	57	30	1710
		Total	45	2,295

**STEP 3** – To get the total amount of open space required, add together the open space provision 585 + 1710 = 2,295 sq.m. This is the amount of open space to be provided.

The site is in an urban area where a high density development is supported. The applicant has made a case for making a financial contribution to upgrade play equipment in a large park less than 400m walking distance. It is agreed that off-site provision is more appropriate in this instance so the contribution needs to be calculated.

**STEP 4** – Multiply the open space provision by financial contribution rate of £30 per sq.m, i.e. 2,295 sq.m x £30 = £68,850.

Therefore for this site a financial contribution of £68,850 required to upgrade play equipment in the park.

# **Developer flowchart analysis**

Is the proposal for 10 or more units? – Yes

Is the site accessible to existing open spaces i.e. does it meet the accessibility standard? Yes

Does the quality of those spaces meet the quality standard? No, the play equipment is sub-standard

A financial contribution, based on quantity standard, to enhance off-site provision will be required.

# **Example 4 - using the quantity standard for large sites**

A large housing development is proposed for a greenfield site (5.15ha). It is close to an existing woodland and a path network which leads into the wider countryside. There are 115 dwellings proposed for the site, a mixed of detached, semi-detached and terraced properties. What would the developer be required to provide?

House size	Number of units
1-bed terraced	12
2-bed semi-detached	18
3-bed semi-detached	30
3-bed detached	25
4-bed detached	30

**STEP 1** - Using the flow chart in Appendix 1, the proposal is for more than ten units so the first step is to see if it meets the accessibility standard i.e. is the site within 250m of a 0.2ha amenity greenspace, play space or natural/semi-natural greenspace? The site is within 250m of a <a href="large-natural/semi-natural">large-natural/semi-natural</a> greenspace provided path links are made to connect into these areas from the site. In addition, there is an expectation that major residential developments provide an equipped play area if they are not within 250m of one. The site appraisal identifies that there are no equipped play areas nearby. To meet the standards the site could combine provision i.e. have on-site provision and make an off-site contribution to make the woodland accessible.

STEP 2 – Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	12	468
2	1.9	57	18	1026

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
3	2.5	75	55	4125
4	3	90	30	2700
		Total	115	8319

**STEP 3** – To get the total amount of open space required, add together the open space provision 468+1026+4125+2700 = 8319 sq.m. This is the amount of open space to be provided.

This is the total amount of open space required based on the estimated population of the development. To provide on-site and make an off-site contribution this figure is split: a 0.2ha (2000sq.m) equipped play space will be provided within the site and the remainder will be a financial contribution to enhance access to and within the woodlands.

**STEP 4** – The off-site financial contribution to enhance access to and within the woodlands will be less the area of the equipped play space i.e.

8,319 sq.m - 2,000 sq.m = 6,319 sq.m

£30 x 6,319 sq.m = £189,570

The provision responds to the site context.

# **Developer flowchart analysis**

Is the proposal for 10 or more units? – Yes

Is the site accessible to existing open spaces i.e. does it meet the accessibility standard? Yes, but only if access improvements are implemented

In this instance, the on-site provision of play equipment is required as it is a major development. This provision is subtracted from the overall quantity provision required, with the balance of provision met through a financial contribution to enhance access to and within the nearby woodlands.

# Example 5 - not all of the site is accessible

A large housing development is proposed on a long, narrow site with the railway adjacent to the southern boundary. There are 104 dwellings proposed on the 2.8ha site: a mix of detached, semi-detached, terraced and flatted properties. What would the developer be required to provide?

**STEP 1** - The proposal is for more than ten units so the first step is to see if it meets the accessibility standard i.e. is it within 250m of a 0.2ha of a useable park, amenity greenspace, play space or natural/semi-natural greenspace? The site is within 250m of a large park and recreation ground which is in need of an upgrade. However the railway separates the site from the park so that the walking distance is greater than 250m for most of the site except the flatted properties to the west. Improving connections by building a bridge is too expensive so some on-site provision is required. There is an expectation that major residential developments provide an equipped play area.

STEP 2 - Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	24	936
2	1.9	57	26	1482
3	2.5	75	38	2850
4	3	90	16	1440
		Total	104	6708

**STEP 3** – All the 1 bed flats (24) are within 250m of the play area so need to be excluded from the calculation of amount of on-site provision required i.e. subtract 936 sq.m from the total:

 $6708 - 936 = 5{,}772 \text{ sq.m meters}$ 

**STEP 4** – The site would therefore still have to provide 5,772 sq.m of open space (including an equipped play area) and make a financial contribution of  $936 \times £30 = £28,080$ .

# **Developer's flowchart analysis**

Is the proposal for 10 or more units? – Yes

Is the site accessible to existing open spaces i.e. does it meet the accessibility standard? Some parts of the site are

Does the quality of those spaces meet the quality standard? No

A financial contribution, based on quantity standard, to enhance off-site provision will be required. However, as some of the site is further than 250m from the open space to be improved, on-site provision is required also, so a mix of on-site provision and financial contribution will be sought.

# **Example 6 - looking at quality standards**

A residential development of 45 flats is proposed, a mix of 30 two-bed and 15 one—bed flats. Applying the accessibility standard, it is located close to an existing park and the canal. What would the developer be required to provide?

**STEP 1** - The proposal is for more than ten units so the first step is to see if it meets the accessibility standard i.e. is it within 250m of a 0.2ha amenity greenspace, play space or natural/semi-natural greenspace? The site is within 250m of a park. The site has less than 50 units so an equipped play park is not necessarily required on the site. The quality of that park needs to be assessed using the quality standard.

**STEP 2** – the most recent Audit carried out for this site shows that there are a number of concerns about the play equipment, surfacing and path connections within the park. Using the scoring the play area is assessed as being below the 50% threshold and requires investment. This means that the play area offers a valid opportunity for developer contribution funding associated with the site.

STEP 3 – Determine the open space provision per number of units as per Table 6 of Local Development Plan 2

Bedrooms	Household Size	Open Space Per Unit (household size x 30 sq.m)	Units	Open Space Total (sq.m) (Open space x units)
1	1.3	39	15	585
2	1.9	57	30	1710
		Total	45	2295

**STEP 4** – To get the total amount of open space required, add together the open space provision 585 + 1710 = 2295. This is the amount of open space to be provided.

**STEP 5**– Multiply the open space provision by the financial contribution rate of £30 per sq.m i.e.2,295 sq.m x £30 = £68,850.

For this site a financial contribution of £68,850 is required to help upgrade the play equipment within the park.

# Appendix 4: Information required to be provided in development appraisals

This appendix expands on what applicants are required to include in their development appraisals when asking for consideration of a reduced or waived fee.

The Development Appraisal

The basic calculation to assess whether a contribution should be waived is as follows:-

- (X) Estimated Sale Value of Completed Development.
- (Y) Total costs of development including any land purchase. The required developer contribution is also a development cost and should be included here.
- (X) minus (Y) gives the expected profit level from the development. Developers will usually expect a profit between 10-20% of development costs (Y) before proceeding with a development. Therefore, if the submitted appraisal shows that profit levels fall to an unacceptable level when the developer contribution is included as a cost, the Council will give consideration to reducing the fee.

A greater level of detail than the top line numbers set out in the above basic calculation is however required to be provided within the development appraisal. The estimated sale value of the development (X) should be broken down into house types to allow the figures to be easily verified.

For example:-

12 no. 4 bed detached houses @ £200k = £2.4m

4 no. 2 bed semi-detached houses @ £120k each = £0.48m

Total estimated value of completed development - £2.88million

Total development costs (Y) should be broken down into the following general headings:

- (i) Land purchase costs;
- (ii) Professional Fees including legal, project management, architect and estate agents;
- (iii) Finance Costs i.e. Bank Interest charges;

- (iv) Build Costs including all site infrastructure costs; and
- (v) Developer Contribution Fee

All of the above information should be provided by the developer on an "open book" basis, with no confidentiality restrictions, to allow the Council to verify the costs shown by developers if considered necessary. Wherever possible, costs should be confirmed and certified by consultants employed by the developer.

Once all of the above information has been submitted, the Council may seek internal or external expertise to verify it and then a decision about whether the contribution should be reduced will be made.

# **Appendix 5: Open Space Audit information note**

In 2016 officers from the Planning Service, supported by officers from Greenspace, undertook an audit of open spaces in the West Dunbartonshire Planning Authority area, with some sites revisited/reassessed in 2018.

The audit involved visiting a range of sites across the area falling into the following categories:

- Amenity greenspace
- MUGAs (multi-use game areas)
- Natural spaces
- Parks
- Play areas

An assessment sheet for each category of open space was used to score each site (attached). The scoring sheet was created by the Glasgow and Clyde Valley Green Network Partnership.

Sites were scored against the factors and criteria set out in the assessment sheet, based on the subjective judgement of the visiting officer. A percentage score for each site can be calculated by dividing the site's actual score by the total possible score available and multiplying by 100.

Given the subjective manner of initial scoring and the passage of time, it would be prudent for sites to be revisited and scoring updated as part of any practical use of the audit e.g. in relation to use of the Green Network and Green Infrastructure

Supplementary Guidance. An updated audit is likely to be undertaken in association with the preparation of the next Local Development Plan (LDP3).

Mapping of the sites assessed and a summary of the information collected and associated information, including scoring is available at:

https://westdunbarton.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=fe102759 a63e4dfbb5251b21400f058a

_	Amenity Open Space	DANI SE pada S 24 (rapidantial)	SI IIo	Data		Cumanar	
3	Site Name:	PAN 65 code 6.31 (residential)	SLUS	Date:		Surveyor	
Ŀ	Site No:						
Ī	Quality Assessment Criteria	Excellent - 5	Good - 4	Acceptable - 3	Poor - 2	Very poor - 1	Score
	1 Site composition						
1	Site topography, drainage and	Most (80% or more) of the site is		About half of the site (35 -		Little (20% or less) of the	
	configuration. i.e. extent of site	flat and dry, grassed or similar		75%) is flat and dry,		site is flat and dry, grassed	
	usuable.	and usable for recreational		grassed or similar and		or similar and usable for	
		activities		usable for recreational activities		recreational activities	
h	Visual diversity of site	Site has a strong a mix of		Site has some visual		Site has little interst and is	
		planting, colour, and visual		interest and diversity.		largely mown grass.	
		interest.		·			
•	Range of functions available to	3 or more functions available e.g.		1 or 2 functions available		Site is effectively mown	
	users	formal play, MUGA, kickabout		to user		grass with little functional	
		potential, wild areas, seating and relaxation areas				value	
}		relaxation aleas				subtotal	
:	2 Access appropriate to Amenity	Note - Score 2a and 2b below if	they are both present on site. It	f either are absent enter r	/a in the appropriate box	00010101	1
	Open Space						
-	Core route running through or	DDA compliant and in excellent		DDA compliant, in		Not DDA compliant (too	
	adjacent to the site, linking	condition, path is well lit and has		acceptable condition and		steep etc) and/or no lights,	
	destinations outwith the site	seats and bins and there are no physical barriers between the		path is lit with some seats or bins. Barriers may		seats or bins.	
		route and the site itself.		exist but are negotiable.			
		. Salo and the site needs.		onor but are negotiable.			
Ī	Internal access - either in addition			DDA compliant,		Not DDA compliant (too	
	to a through route or only serving	with path access to significant		acceptable condition with		steep etc) and in poor	
	the site	areas of space, seats and bins		path access to some		condition	
ŀ	No internal paths. Access is			areas of space		Can only score 1	-
]	directly from pavement or road					Carl Only 30016 1	
	adjacent to site						
I						subtotal	I
	3 Visitor experience	No areas with poor sight lines		Como araca with near		Late of remote areas of near	
1	Perception of safety	No areas with poor sight lines, entrapment points, obvious signs		Some areas with poor sight lines etc but these		Lots of remote areas of poor visibility and remote	
		of anti-social behaviour		can readily be addressed		entrapment points with no	
		or and occidi ponaviour		carriodally be addressed		escape options	
Ī	Open and welcoming/inviting	Space has an obvious sense of		Space can and is used		Space feels unwelcoming	
		place and is ledgible as a		but some sections of the		and deters use	
		publically accessible and usable		community by the overall			
		resource		feel could be improved			
				encouraging more use			
		site is:	he site's performance in relation	to all of the previous crit	eria in sections 1, 2 and 3	above, do you feel the	
-	Usability	highly usable		moderately usable		Effectively unuseable	
ľ	- Cousinty	inginy adapto		mederatory deapte		subtotal	I
•	Management and maintenance						
ŀ	a Planned enhancement through	Detailed plans/designs in place		Some aspectes of the site		No plans	
ľ	council resources, community	and resources allocated or		being considered for		INO piaris	
	involvement, grant aid or planning			enhancement but further			
	gain (if already enhanced - n/a)	, , ,		work required			
	Quality of Maintenance	Evidence of regular and		Evidence of appropriate		Evidence of minimal or poor	
ľ	Lisani, S. Mannonano	appropriate maintenance to a		maintenance to a		quality maintenance in most	
		high standard in all areas		reasonable standard in		areas	
١.	Control of vandalism, litter, dog	No or very limited		Some limited evidence of		Lots of	
]	fouling	vandalism/fouling/litter which		vandalism/ fouling/ litter,		vandalsim/fouling/litter	
		doesn't affect the user		but doesn't detract from		seriously deterring the	
		experience with good provision		the overall usage of the		usage of the site. No bins.	
		of dog and litter bins		open space. Occasional			
ŀ				bins.		subtotal	1
	5 Biodiversity contribution to					Subtotal	•
	green network						
1	Diversity of planting which	A wide range of plants and trees		A moderate range of plant		A poor range of plant and	
	supports wildlife by providing food	of differing species, height and		and tree diversity with		tree diversity	
	and shelter	age structure. High proportion of		moderate proportion of			
-	Range and quality of habitat types	native species.		native species		Managultura with year	
	present	types e.g. broadleaved		Some habitat diversity but limited		Monoculture with very limited biodiversity value	
	processing	woodland, species rich				inition block to the control of the	
		grassland, open water and					
L		wetland					
[	11.15		ed by use of aerial photography		pitat maps, if required		
1	Habitats present are linked or	Obviously within reach of other		Possibility of species		Fragmented space sitting in	
	near a wider network	adjacent habitat patches allowing species movement		movement via the routes above but not as well		isolation and is unlikely to be part of a habitat network	
		opoolog movement		connected.		po part of a nabital network	
÷						subtotal	ı
Ī						Total score	
	Notes: continue on back if required						
		1					i

Mul	ti Use Games Areas (MUGAs)	6.4	Date:			
	Name:	-	Within park? Within amenity greenspace?			
Site	No:					
	veyor:		Stand-alone?			
-	•		!			
		tick fo	or each			
1 (	Condition	3	2		1	Score
a F	ence - maintenance and vandalism	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
b E	quipment - maintenance and vandalism	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
c S	Safety surface - maintenance and vandalism	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
2 5	Supporting infrastructure	3	2		1	
a F	Presence of seating, bins, lighting	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
b (	Condition of supporting infrastructure	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
		•	<del>.</del>			
			1			
	Access and security	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
	Enclosed/fenced with gate/dog grill	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		
	Overlooked by nearby housing	Good	Satisfactory	Poor		1
c (	Good all-ability footpath links to surrounding streets					
				Total		
				lotai		ļ
Ī	Notes:					
l'	votes.					

-	atural Spaces te name	Woodland	Open semi natural	Date		-	
_(	ocation	Urban		Peri urban		Rural	
	uality Assessment Category & Access	Excellent - 5	Good - 4	Acceptable - 2	Poor - 2	Very poor - 1	Sco
	Access points obvious, in good repair and Disability Discrimination Act compliant	Entrance points clearly defined, signed, inviting, clean, welcoming, well maintained and accessible to all.		Apparent as an entrance and in average condition e.g. some signage, entrance features		Entrance points not accessible to all, poorly maintained and/or inappropriately located	
•	Paths which give access to the main features of the site in good repair and Disability Discrimination Act compliant	Paths in excellent condition and are level with good bound		Path generally in good condition and the right place, some maintenance/expansion required		Paths generally in poor condition, maintenance needed, desire lines indicate route issues	
•	Path network appropriate to the scale and layout of the site	Extensive path network servicing all parts of the site		Reasonable path network servicing some of the site		No, or very limited path network	
,	Supporting infrastructure					sub total	l
	Provision of orientation and directional signage and interpretation	Signage and interpretation well designed and delivered, legible and well maintaned with no signs of vandalism		Some signage provided, is in reasonable condition and maintained to an acceptable standard		Lacking in signage or inadequate and poorly maintained	
b	Provision of seats, bins, lighting, viewing areas etc	Good provision of supporting infrastructure which is well located, in good condition and well well maintained		Some provision of supporting infrastructure but could be expanded upon and be of better quality and better maintained		Lacking in infrastructure or inadequate and poorly maintained	
c	Range of buildings and other infrastructure e.g. car park, café, visitor centre (criteria only applicable to sites of sufficient scale)	Good provision of infrastructure which is in good condition and well maintained		Some provision of supporting infrastructure but could be expanded upon and be of better quality and better maintained		Lacking in infrastructure or inadequate and poorly maintained	
2	Visitor experience					sub total	ı
	Perception of safety	No areas with poor sight lines, entrapment points, obvious signs of anti-social behaviour		Some areas with poor sight lines etc but these can readily be addressed		Lots of remote areas of poor visibility and remote entrapment points with no escape options	
	Variety of experience e.g. open glades, wooded areas, ponds viewpoints etc	Good range of habitat types, visual interest and a a varied user experience		Reasonable range of habitat types, visual interest and user experiences		Lack of interest and poor user experience.	
С	Open and welcoming/inviting	Space has an obvious sense of place and is ledgible as a publically accessible and usable resource		Space can and is used but some sections of the community by the overall feel could be improved encouraging more use		Space feels unwelcoming and deters use	
4	Management and maintenance			0 0		sub total	
	Comprehensive Management Plan in place which guides action (only applicable to sites of a sufficient scale)	Recent and comprehensive Management Plan in place and being delivered		Historic Management Plan exists but out of date but still some adhoc management		No Management Plan	
b	Quality and appropriatenes of maintenance	All areas are well maintained in line with the habitat requirements and time of year		Some maintenance on ad hoc basis		No evidence of habitat management	
С	Control of litter, dog fouling and vandalism	No evidence of fouling/litter/vandalism/fly tipping/drinking		Some limited evidence of fouling/litter/vandalism etc but doesn't detract from the overall usage of the space		Extensive fouling/litter/vandalism etc seriously deterring use of the space	
5	Biodiversity and contribution to habitat network					sub total	
а	Diversity of species and age structure at field, shrub and canopy layers	A wide range of plants and trees of differing species, height and age structure. High proportion of native species.		A moderate range of plant and tree diversity with moderate proportion of native species		A poor range of plant and tree diversity	
b	Range and quality of habitat types present other than woodland	A mosaic of different habitats types e.g. broadleaved woodland, species rich grassland, open water and wetland		Some habitat diversity but limited		Monoculture with very limited biodiversity value	
С	Woodland is part of a wider woodland network	Obviously within reach of other adjacent habitat patches allowing species movement		Possibility of species movement via the routes above but not as well connected.		Fragmented space sitting in isolation and is unlikely to be part of a habitat network	
						sub total	

	arks and Gardens	PAN 65 code 6.1	SLUs	Date		Surveyor	4
	ite Name : ity, District or Local Park						<del>-</del> }
	Access relative to function	Excellent -5	Good - 4	Acceptable - 3	Poor - 2	Very poor - 1	Sco
	Access points obvious, in good	Entrance points clearly		Apparent as an entrance		Entrance points not	
	repair and Disability	defined, signed, inviting,		and in average condition e.g.		accessible to all, poorly	
	Discrimination Act compliant	clean, welcoming, well maintained and accessible		some signage, entrance features		maintained and/or inappropriately located	
		to all.		Todata oo		mappropriatory rodatou	
b	Paths which give access to the	Paths in excellent condition		Path generally in good		Paths generally in poor	
	main features of the site in good repair and Disability	and are level with good bound surfaces, edges well		condition and the right place, some		condition, maintenance needed, desire lines	
	Discrimination Act compliant	defined, debris and weed		maintenance/expansion		indicate route issues	
		free and well maintained		required			
С	Path network appropriate to the scale and layout of the site	Extensive path network servicing all parts of the site		Reasonable path network servicing some of the site		No, or very limited path network	
	scale and layout of the site	servicing an parts of the site		servicing some of the site		sub total	
2	Design and layout					Sub total	
а	Visual interest and balance	Good balance between		Adequate design and		Poor design and layout with	
	between elements present	aesthetic, amenity and recreational elements		balance between the elements		little interest	
		creating an attractive space					
b	Configuration of elements is logical, legible and creates	Design and location of		Some aspects of the site function well but are let		Elements disconnected and illegible. Poor design and	
	useable space	elements takes account of topography and aspect		down by less well designed		layout.	
	*	supporting use.		elements		,	
С	Landscaping and vegetation appropriate to space	Planting & vegetation well laid out, successfully		Planting & vegetation reasonably well laid out to		Planting & vegetation fail to reflect and enhance slopes,	
	appropriate to space	reflecting and enhancing		reflect and enhance slopes,		views, vistas creating a	
		slopes, views, vistas etc		views, vistas etc		bland space	
2	Supporting infrastructure					sub total	ı
	Provision of orientation and	Signage and interpretation		Some signage provided, is in		Lacking in signage or	1
	directional signage and	well designed and delivered,		reasonable condition and		inadequate and poorly	
	interpretation	legible and well maintaned with no signs of vandalism		maintained to an acceptable standard		maintained	
b	Provision of seats, bins, lighting,	Good provision of		Some provision of		Lacking in infrastructure or	1
_	viewing areas etc	supporting infrastructure		supporting infrastructure but		inadequate and poorly	
		which is well located, in good condition and well well		could be expanded upon and be of better quality and		maintained	
		maintained		better maintained			
С	Range of buildings and other	Good provision of		Some provision of		Lacking in infrastructure or	
	infrastructure e.g. car park, café,	infrastructure which is in		supporting infrastructure but		inadequate and poorly	
	visitor centre (criteria only applicable to sites of	good condition and well maintained		could be expanded upon and be of better quality and		maintained	
	sufficient scale)			better maintained			
						sub total	ı
	Visitor experience Range of user functions	3 or more functions e.g.		1 or 2 functions available to		Site is effectively mown	
<u> </u>	nango or acor ranoliono	formal play, MUGA,		user		grass with little functional	
		kickabout area, wild areas,				value	
		seating and relaxation areas, bandstand, etc					
b	Perception of safety	No areas with poor sight		Some areas with poor sight		Lots of remote areas of poor	
		lines, entrapment points,		lines etc but these can readily be addressed		visibility and remote	
		obvious signs of anti-social behaviour		readily be addressed		entrapment points with no escape options	
С	Open and welcoming/inviting	Space has an obvious sense		Space can and is used but		Space feels unwelcoming	
		of place and is ledgible as a publically accessible and		some sections of the community by the overall		and deters use	
		usable resource		feel could be improved			
				encouraging more use			
5	Management and					sub total	
	maintenance						
а	Comprehensive Management Plan in place which guides	Recent and comprehensive Management Plan in place		Historic Management Plan exists but out of date but still		No Management Plan	
	action (only applicable to	and being delivered		some adhoc management			
_	sites of a sufficient scale)						
b	Quality of Maintenance	Evidence of regular and appropriate maintenance to		Evidence of appropriate maintenance to a reasonable		Evidence of minimal or poor quality maintenance in most	
		a high standard in all areas		standard in key areas		areas	
С	Control of vandalism, litter, dog	No or very limited		Some limited evidence of		Lots of	T
	fouling	vandalism/fouling/litter which doesn't affect the user		vandalism/fouling/litter, but doesn't detract from the		vandalsim/fouling/litter seriously deterring the	
		experience with good		overall usage of the open		usage of the site. No bins.	
		provision of dog and litter		space. Occasional bins.			
		bins				sub total	1
6	Biodiversity contribution to					our total	
a	habitat network Diversity of planting which	A wide range of plants and		A moderate range of plant		A poor range of plant and	-
_	supports wildlife by providing	trees of differing species,		and tree diversity with		tree diversity	
	food and shelter	height and age structure.		moderate proportion of			
		High proportion of native species.		native species			
b	Range and quality of habitat	A mosaic of different		Some habitat diversity but		Monoculture with very	
	types present	habitats types e.g.		limited		limited biodiversity value	
		broadleaved woodland, species rich grassland, open					
		water and wetland					
c	Habitats present are likely to be	Obviously within reach of		Possibility of species		Fragmented space sitting in	
	part of a wider network	other adjacent habitat patches allowing species		movement via the routes above but not as well		isolation and is unlikely to be part of a habitat network	
		movement		connected.		•	
					-	sub total	
	İ.					Total	
		1		<u> </u> :		i Otal	
	Notes: Continue on back if required			i	l	·	
			I	i		i	
				i	i	i	
				i		i	

С	hildren's Play	6.4		Date:		
	te Name:		· I	Within park?		
Si	te No:			Within amenity greens	pace?	
Sı	urveyor			Stand-alone?		
1	Age Range	tick for e	eacn			
a	Up to 7yrs		1			
b	7 to 14 yrs		-			
С	14 yrs plus					
•	Dance of authorized		3	2	1	Score
<b>2</b> a	Range of equipment number of play pieces	10+		10<5	5<0	Score
b	diversity of play experience offered	Good		Satisfactory	Poor	
С	opportunities for natural play	Good	t L	Satisfactory	Poor	
3	Condition of equipment and safety surface		3	2	1	
a	Maintenance and vandalism	Good	t	Satisfactory	Poor	
b	Old and outdated equipment	New		Satisfactory	Old	
	Evidence of removed pieces	Many	/	Some	None	
•	Supporting infrastructure		3	2	4	
3	Supporting infrastructure  Presence of seating, bins, lighting	Good		Some	No	
a b	Condition of supporting infrastructure	Good		Satisfactory	Poor	
	Condition of dappoining initiating actions					
					<u>.</u>	
4	•	V	3	2 Double	1 Na	
a c	Enclosed/fenced with gate/dog grill  Overlooked by nearby housing	Yes Yes		Partly Partly	No No	
d	Good all-ability footpath links to surrounding streets	Yes		Yes	No	
ŭ	Cood all ability recipatif limite to carroantaling circula					
						Total
N	otes:	:			:	·
-						
-						
L					1	
		i				1